Full Principles Set

Derived from the usability literature by Dr. Iain Connell (now of University College London Interaction Centre, or UCLIC), as part of a DPhil research programme at University of York. The contents may be cited as

CONNELL, I.W. (2000). Full Principles Set. Set of 30 usability evaluation principles compiled by the author from the HCI literature. URL as source

This full set of 30 Usability Evaluation Principles (UEPs) is grouped into 7 larger sets, namely

Requirements and Functionality Principles User - System Principles Comparative Principles System Performance Principles Perceptual and Motor Principles User Support Principles

each containing between 1 and 10 principles. Some principles are further divided into attributes (currently up to 2), each of which can be considered as a sub-principle; where no sub-division has taken place, the attribute name is the same as the principle name.

Contents

Principle: Context - Sensitive Help
Principle: General Help26
Jser Support Principles
Principle: Perceptual Contrast
Principle: Perceptual Clarity
Principle: Motor Load
Principle: Audio-perceptual Load
Allicipie. Visio-perceptual Load
Perceptual and Motor Principles
Principle: Responsiveness20
Principle: Consistency18 System Performance Principles18
Principle: Consistency
Principle: Flexibility Principle: Accuracy of Content15 Principle: Salience16 10 10 17
Principle: Accuracy of Content16
Principle: Flexibility
Principle: Modifiability14
Jser Principles
Principle: User Match12
Principle: Choice Availability
LIUCIDIE: FOCSIOU SUG INSVIDSIOU
Principle: Feedback9
Principle: Memory Load
Principle: Memory Load
Principle: Navigational Effort
Jser - System Principles5
Principle: Functional Utility4
Principle: Requirements Match3
Sequirements and Functionality Principles

Requirements and Functionality Principles

These principles concern the match between what the system does and what its intended users want it to do, and between who those users are and who they are perceived to be by the designers of the system.

Requirements and functional specifications would normally be performed as part of a requirements analysis process.

Requirements Match

Williges & Williges 1984, Norman 1988, Denley et al 1993, Sutcliffe 1995, Dix et al 1998, Shneiderman 1998	Source(s)
requirements is, along with the eliciting of those requirements, an essential pre-requisite to system development.	
This is a simply stated principle, yet the matching of system functionality to user	comments
Functional organisation	
Requirements needs Functional provision	Related to or affects
new or revised system will have to include these.	
However, even familiar and practised users of popular systems such as word processors will expect to be able to perform simple operations, and the functional set delivered by a	Exception(s)
extensive, to meet the expectations of users who may have been exposed to other existing and prior versions. Even users new to such a system are likely to be aware of the range of functions which word processors now provide.	
The range of operations and options provided by a word processor will now need to be	(s)əlqmsx∃
The set of functions offered by the system (ie. what the system does) should cater for the needs and requirements of the users for whom it is intended.	noitenslqx∃
Requirement and Functionality Principles	Set
Requirements Match	Principle
FUNCTIONAL NEEDS No : 1	Attribute

shaan legoitag	ĿЭ	ot hatelag
quirements will be easier to determine.		
wever, specialised systems will have a more clearly defined user base, whose	ΡН	Exception(s)
stures and functional groupings offered by such systems.		
ers will thus now be very wide-ranging, and may be reflected in the various additional		
readsheets is now very large and potentially very diverse. The requirements of such	ds	
e user base for familiar and popular systems such as word processors and	Ч⊥	Example(s)
ended should have been accurately determined.	int	
e characteristics and functional requirements of the users for whom a system is	Ч⊥	Explanation
equirement and Functionality Principles	ЪЯ	Set
equirements Match	ЪЯ	Principle
COUREMENTS NEEDS No : 2	ВE	Attribute

Source(s)	Williges & Williges 1984, Denley et al 1993, Sutcliffe 1995, ISO 9241-10 (1996), Shneiderman 1998
	matching of those requirements to functions offered, an essential pre-requisite to system development.
Comments	This is a simply stated principle, yet the eliciting of user requirements is, along with the
	Functional organisation
or affects	Functional provision
Related to	Functional needs
	requirements will be easier to determine.
Exception(s)	However, specialised systems will have a more clearly defined user base, whose
	features and functional groupings offered by such systems.
	users will thus now be very wide-ranging, and may be reflected in the various additional
	spreadsheets is now very large and potentially very diverse. The requirements of such
(s)∋lqmsx∃	The user base for familiar and popular systems such as word processors and
	intended should have been accurately determined.
noitsnalqx∃	The characteristics and functional requirements of the users for whom a system is
190	Kednieweur sug Functionality Principies

Functional Utility

Source(s)	Smith & Mosier 1986, Marshall et al 1987, Nielsen 1993, Sutcliffe 1995, Scapin & Bastien 1997, Jordan 1998, Dix et al 1998, Shneiderman 1998
	resources to prototype versions.
	functional organisations, in the form of paper-based scenarios, before committing
	for pull-down menus. It is also possible to explore a selection of competing alternative
	standardisation has now become a de facto expectation, such as File Edit groupings
	system types, and there is little which can be laid down as a guide. However, some
Comments	The organisation of system functions represents one of the most varied aspects of different
	Requirements needs
stoeffe ro	Functional needs
Selated to	Functional provision
	menu sets, and the extension of existing functionality by additional options.
	with differing expectations and experience. Common examples include 'full' and 'short'
≡xception(s)	It is possible to provide layers or sets of functionality at different levels, to cater for users
	at the least, match with what is expected of the system by its users.
	this organisation should be in line with any prior expectations of such systems and should,
=xsmple(s)	The set of menu options offered by a system can be organised in many different ways, but
	expectations and knowledge of the intended users.
	required operations. The organisation of system functions should match with the
aoitenelqx	The set of functions offered by a system should provide the best means of performing the
5et	Requirement and Functionality Principles
-rinciple	Functional Utility
Attribute	FUNCTIONAL ORGANISATION

Murphy & Mitchell 1986, Marshall et al 1987, Brown 1988, Norman 1988, Hix & Hartson 1993, Nielsen 1993, Shneiderman 1998	Source(s)
parts of a system. It is compounded by the resulting reduction in performance which many large and expanded systems now force on their previously adequate host machines.	
explained by the reluctance which even experienced users feel to explore the unfamiliar	
It has been shown that many users make use of only a small proportion of the extensive sets of functions which large systems now provide, a situation which can only partially be	Comments
Requirements needs	
Functional needs	or affects
Functional organisation	Related to
menu sets, and the extension of existing functionality by additional options.	
It is possible to provide layers or sets of functionality at different levels, to cater for users with differing expectations and experience. Common examples include 'full' and 'short'	Exception(s)
The set of menu options offered by a system can be organised in many different ways, but should, in total, match with the functional requirements of its users.	Example(s)
functions, there being exactly those required and no more.	
required operations. There should be no redundancy or under-provision of system	
The set of functions offered by a system should provide the best means of performing the	Explanation
Requirement and Functionality Principles	Set
Functional Utility	Principle
FUNCTIONAL PROVISION No : 4	Attribute

User - System Principles

These principles concern the 'flow of interaction' between user and system, that is, the sequences of choices and actions which the user makes in response to the system, and the types and nature of the messages, displays and other outputs which the system presents to the user.

The range of issues include the locational and navigational information which the system provides to the user, the type of feedback which is given in response to user commands, the way in which the system defines and handles errors, the range of choices available to the user at each stage in interaction, and the terminology and language used by the system for its text messages and displays.

8907, Shneiderman 1998	
Galitz 1985, Denley et al 1993, Sutcliffe 1995, ISO 9241-10 (1996), Scapin & Bastien	Source(s)
menu layout or toolbar collection.	
shortcuts to frequently used sequences, even to the extent of re-configuring the whole	
Many experienced users will expect and require that they be permitted to define their own	
(eg. the 'card-index' metaphor) from which sets of options are offered.	
selections are being offered within a single box or window, often with multiple 'sub-modes'	
every selection or response in an interaction sequence; increasingly, combinations of	
that it is no longer necessary or expected that a new screen-full be presented for each and	
operations. The now common use of within-screen dialogue boxes and windows means	
work which repetitive or over-simplistic systems require of them in order to perform simple	
One of the most common complaints from users concerns the amount of un-necessary	Comments
Step modification	or affects
Minimum retraction	Related to
shortcuts to familiar selection sequences, or user-defined macros.	
sequences might also be offered for experienced users, such as keyboard or toolbar	
of steps might be deliberately extended, for clarity or explanation. Reduced-step	
In a tutorial or 'training wheel' (rehearsal sequence, offered only on request), the number	Exception(s)
menus in a menu hierarchy.	(-);+]
dialogue boxes or windows. In a menu interface, each step might be successive sub-	
WIMP interface, steps might be successive mouse clicks required to open successive	
A 'step' can be represented in a number of ways, depending on the interface type. In a	Example(s)
which are hidden or occluded	
In a multi-state (eg. multi-tasking) system, there should be a means of access to states	
sotets of assesse to accome and blueds and there are accounting to a state it in a cul	
avoided.	
between related components, and un-necessary repetition of step sequences should be	
states and between functional components. There should be minimum number of steps	
It should be as easy as possible for the user to move (in steps or stages) between system	Explanation
meterie accurted (consiste re again all even et reeu edt ret eldioped on vere ac ed bluede th	agitogolay3
User-system principles	5et
Navigational effort	Principle
MINIMUM STEPS No. 5 No. 5	Attribute
STEPS NIMINIM	Of I diatt A

Galitz 1985, Brown 1988, Hix & Hartson 1993,	Source(s)
shortcuts to backtracking.	
that used to become 'lost' in the first place : one solution is the use of history lists or other	
required to return to some known and previously found state should not be the same as	
operations. In particular, in a hypertext or web-browser system, the navigational effort	
work which repetitive or over-simplistic systems require of them in order to perform simple	
One of the most common complaints from users concerns the amount of un-necessary	Comments
Step modification	or affects
Minimum retraction	Related to
file', here) is not available.	
might not be wise to offer a return to a previous step from which the safety option ('save	
continuance with the initiated sequence (eg. 'file not saved : do so before closing ?'), it	(-)
In an error situation, where potentially serious consequences might ensue from	Exception(s)
lead to the desired situation.	
as the default), for example in an error situation or when the most recent step does not	
having to retract all the intervening steps already made (though this should be still offered	
memory of a memory of should be possible to return to a previous step without	
dialogue boxes or windows. In a menu interface, each step might be successive sub-	
WIMP interface, steps might be successive mouse clicks required to open successive	(c)oldulay=
A 'step' can be represented in a number of ways, depending on the interface type. In a	(s)əlqmsx∃
steps already made.	
It should be as easy as possible for the user to move (in steps or stages) between system states and between functional components. There should no un-necessary retraction of	Explanation
metava neewted (sepeta of aneta ni) evon of teal eff tot eldisson as vase as ed bluoda ti	Explanation
	100
User-system principles	Set
Navigational effort	Principle
MINIMUM RETRACTION No : 6	Attribute

oley & Van Dam 1982, Williges & Williges 1984, Nielsen 1993, Sutcliffe 1995	rce(s) Fo	inoc
		1103
membering the type and range of inputs which they require.		
Immed up in the different demands these interface styles make of their users for		
e difference between graphical, menu-based and command-line interfaces can be	TT stnem	moJ
	fects	le lo
	ot bet	Rela
ll continue to develop considerable skill in dealing with complex syntaxes.	M	
villed users of powerful operating systems, and programmers in even simple languages,		Exce
present a more recent opportunity for default formats.	re	
on-specialist users to have to remember long and difficult syntax sequences; the latter	bu	
ise, the rise of graphical interfaces and menu systems has largely bypassed the need for	co	
amples of complex input formats which could be avoided or shortcutted. In the former		
ommand line syntax generally, and Internet addresses with a common prefix, are		rex∃
ovided.		
dication should be available concerning the format required, and defaults should be		
omplex input formats should be avoided where possible. If they are necessary,		eidx⊐
······································		
		100
ser-system principles		Set
emory load	M eldic	Princ
Z : ON IN	M stuc	Attrib

1987, Dix et al 1998, Jordan 1998, Shneiderman 1998	
Vielsen 1993, Sutcliffe 1995, ISO 9241-10 (1996), Scapin & Bastien 1997, Marshall et al	
Foley & Van Dam 1982, Galitz 1985, Brown 1988, Thimbleby 1991, Hix & Hartson 1993,	Source(s)
most that will occur, even if not all of them can or should be dealt with.	
never be possible to remove all potential for user errors; it is, however, possible to predict	
errors are useful, in that they point up features of the interface yet to be improved, it will	
The problem of defining and predicting errors is a major aspect of interface design. While	Comments
Accuracy of content	or affects
Minimum retraction	Related to
requirement will be a warning of the consequences.	
not a simple problem; where it is not possible to come to a decision, the minimum	
some errors as trivial or inconsequential. Like the definition of errors themselves, this is	
The only exception to the requirement to deal with all user errors is in the definition of	Exception(s)
re-writing of any inputs, before final acceptance.	
should be both retractable in full (via a 'cancel' option) and fully modifiable, via resetting or	
Compound or complex inputs, for example a collection of settings made in a dialogue box,	
· / · · · /·" · · / · / · · · · · · · ·	
a drawing system, etc.	
prevented. Examples include deletion of typing errors, the undoing of unwanted actions in	
Less serious actions or trivial errors should be immediately retractable but not necessarily	
Save before closing ?' dialogue boxes.	
action is finally initiated. Examples include Unsaved data : are you sure ?' (on Exit) and	
warning given, along with a halt to further input and the opportunity to retract, before the	
Serious actions (eg. which would lead to data loss) should be completely prevented, or	
and appropriate indication given of the consequences.	
user input is required, incorrect or potentially serious actions should always be identified	
they might be. Where such actions cannot be prevented, for example where a complex	
constitutes an unwanted action is an open-ended problem), it is possible to anticipate what	
While it is not possible to prevent all unwanted user actions (indeed, the definition of what	(s)əlqmsx∃
negative.	
The tone of error messages and warnings should be affirmative and positive rather than	
and rodter evition has evitemrifte of blueds anginew has appeared rereating to and od T	
Compound or complex inputs should be retractable and modifiable before initiation.	
noitoitini orotod oldoitibora bao oldotoertor od bluodo stuani volganos to baugamo.	
undo' facility.	
consequences should be immediately retractable; this includes the provision of a general	
be indicated, along with any alternative action(s). User actions with less serious or trivial	
warning given before final initiation. In both cases, the consequences of the error should	
User actions with potentially serious consequences should be completely prevented, or	
Prevention of erroneous user actions (before the action) is preferable to identification (after the action).	noitenslqx∃
Prevention of encounter of an and an antiper and an antiper and an antiper and an antiper and	noitenelava
	100
User-system principles	Set
Error management	Principle
8 : ON TIANAGEMENT No : 8	Attribute

others.	
Bastien 1997, Cox & Walker 1998, Dix et al 1998, Jordan 1998, Shneiderman 1998, and	
Hartson 1993, Nielsen 1993, Sutcliffe 1995, Zetie 1995, ISO 9241-10 (1996), Scapin &	
Marshall et al 1987, Brown 1988, Norman 1988, Thimbleby 1991, Denley et al 1993, Hix &	
Foley & Van Dam 1982, Williges & Williges 1984, Galitz 1985, Murphy & Mitchell 1986,	Source(s)
to decrease rather than increase.	
default response in many cases). User tolerance of slow or unresponsive systems is likely	
watch' and 'egg-timer' icons may be over-used, but these are better than nothing (still the	
performing even simple operations, or, indeed, that they are doing anything at all. The	
It is surprising that many well-known systems still refuse to inform their users that they are	Comments
Responsiveness	or affects
Location and navigation	Related to
However, this is likely to be limited to simple inputs such as keyboard presses.	
necessity of waiting for each one to complete before initiating the next, is valuable.	
	(e)uoudoov-
When system response can be predicted, the ability to 'stack up' user inputs, without the	Exception(s)
must immediately follow mouse action.	
in real time by system confirmation : for example, cursor movement in a drawing package	
such as keyboard action is now likely to be very low. Continuous input should be matched	
movements). The willingness of users to put up with delayed response to simple inputs	
indications of system 'crash' is total lack of response to keyboard presses or mouse	
Immediate confirmation that user input is being accepted is equally important (one of the	
delays can be expected that is more valuable than the actual length of those delays.	
processing is proceeding at a detectable rate is more important; it is the knowledge that	
complete' indicator, perhaps including an estimate of actual duration; but confirmation that	
information as to likely duration will be required. This can be in the form of an 'amount	
before requiring confirmation that processing is taking place. After 10 seconds, additional	
The indications are that 5 seconds is the maximum time which users are prepared to wait	
n an	
such as batching, indication should also be given prior to commencement.	
be given that such operations are underway. In the case of system-initiated operations	
user-initiated actions such as data transfer, copying, or transformation, indication should	
At no time should the user be left not knowing what the system is doing. In the case of	Exsmple(s)
	(3)olamera
All door inputs (hanging norm to board to thatford by appropriate feedback.	
All user inputs (ranging from keyboard to tracker ball) should be immediately confirmed.	
seconds), indication of elapsed duration or completion time should be given.	
processes should indicate that they are continuing. For processes of any length (>10	
Immediate confirmation of user-initiated processes should be given, and all system	
The status of the system (ie. what it is doing) should be visible to the user at all times.	Explanation
User-system principles	Set
Feedback	Principle
LEEDBACK No : 9	Attribute

(and 1998) and 1998	
Marshall et al 1987, Denley et al 1993, Hix & Hartson 1993, Nielsen 1993, Sutcliffe 1995,	Source(s)
will be essential in any backward movements.	
particularly relationship between them, can be reached. The labelling of previous states	
different ways, the requirement remains to indicate what each state does. This is particularly true in a browser system, where a potentially large variety of states, with no	
clues. While it is recognised that a single state (eg. dialogue box) can be reached in many	
The advent of hypertext and browser systems has emphasised the need for locational	Comments
Minimum steps	or affects
Error management Minimum retraction	Related to
	Exception(s)
action by an ellipsis () after the command from which they are opened.	
from which further selections can be made and which do not yet commit the user to an	
retract any journeys into successive states. A common convention is to indicate states	
without affecting any settings already made; there should always be an option to cancel or	
When one state allows access to another, it should be possible to return to the prior state	
coby options, etc.	
every dialogue box, selection window, information box, etc., should have an indication of what its contents are and how they can be used. Examples include page format settings,	
Labelling of every system state is important, not just for browsing systems. Each and	Exsmple(s)
modes, those modes should be clearly distinguished.	
enter a state from which there is no exit. Where states represent different functional	
These should always include a return to the previous state; it should not be possible to	
well as labelling, each state should indicate the range of user options which it permits.	
The relationship of every system state to other states should also be indicated. Thus as	
state (eg. screen, window, dialogue box) should be labelled or titled.	
interaction sequence they have reached and what they can do from it. Thus every system	
It is important that the user knows 'where' in the system they are, that is, what step in an	Explanation
	100
Location and navidation	Set
	Principle
01 : 0N NOITAMROAN No 10	Attribute

Thimbleby 1991, Hix & Hartson 1993, Nielsen 1993, Zetie 1995, Cox & Walker 1998	Source(s)
other, is one of the most useful attributes of multi-tasking environments.	
spreadsheet to a graphics tool and back, without having to close one system and open the	
The ability to switch between concurrently active applications, for example from a	Comments
Minimum steps	or affects
Location and navigation	Related to
between separate applications is welcome.	
Excessive use of functional modes within single systems is to be avoided, but switching	
such as word processors and spreadsheets, are unlikely to have clearly separate modes.	
has more than one function according to when opened. Systems with single workspaces,	(c)uondoox-
It may be difficult to define a 'functionally distinct' mode, particularly when the same state	Exception(s)
be possible to determine which states are currently open, and to switch between states. An extension to the indication of states within single systems is the possibility of running more than one system concurrently. When different states represent different systems, egu with multi-tasking, it is possible to have several major tasks or systems. Alternatively, each occluding windows, possible to have several major tasks or system. Alternatively, each same time. In a windowing system, different systems may be held in overlapping or occluding windows, possibly with more than one window per system. Alternatively, each system (or system window) may take up the whole screen space. In either case, it is important to be able to switch between systems (or window states), and the currently is set if to be able to switch between systems (or window states), and the currently important to be able to switch between systems (or window states), and the currently is possible ('top') system should be clearly apparent.	(s)∋iqmsx∃
Where states (eg. windows, screens) represent different functional modes, those modes concurrently, the 'top' or currently active state should be clearly indicated. It should also concurrently, the 'top' or currently active state scive state should be clearly indicated. It should also be not stated to switch between states are currently one.	Explanation
User-system principles	Set
Location and navigation	Principle
LOCATIONAL MODES No : 11	Attribute

CHOICE AVAILABILITY No : 12	Attribute
Choice availability	Principle
User-system principles	Set
At ever system state, the range of user options should represent those which are appropriate from that state. Thus neither too few or too many choices should be available from any one state, a balance being maintained between the number of steps required for particular operations and the number of options available at each step. The range of choices at any step should not appear overwhelming or impossible to encompass. Each option available at a state should be functionally distinct from the other options at that state. Menu-based systems are an obvious example (but see below); the organisation of such that state.	Example(s)
systems represent the way in which their functionality may be divided into coherent groups. The organisation of other systems is more open, but some organisational framework will still have to be established.	
Functional organisation	Exception(s) Related to or affects
As to the number of options which are appropriate at each step, a likely maximum is a system via which are system via an interface is largely a matter of successive choices of this, where the variety and number of choices is limited to a few at a time, following some organisational framework (usually hierarchical). Other interface styles present their choices in different fashions, but the principle of successive selection between alternatives wider context.	Comments
between 7 and 9. Williges & Williges 1984, Murphy & Mitchell 1986, Marshall et al 1987, Norman 1988, Zetie 1995, Jordan 1998	Source(s)

Marshall et al 1987, Thimbleby 1991, Hix & Hartson 1993, Nielsen 1993, Scapin & Bastien 1997, Shneiderman 1998	Source(s)
is, however, very easy to fix (and, by the same token, to implement), and can be addressed without affecting other more important aspects of system design.	
Inappropriate terminology is a good indicator of a lack of concern for users of a system; it	Comments
	Related to or affects
pəwnsse	
nnavoidable. In such cases additional information on terminology should be provided. In specialised systems a knowledge of acronyms and other terminology should not be	
Even with a public access system the use of some application-specific terms is	Exception(s)
mere jargon. If in doubt, the more general of two alternatives should be used.	
should address the minimum expectations of a potentially very wide user group. For more specialised systems, terminology can have a narrower focus, but should not descend to	
In a public access system such as an ATM (automatic teller machine), the language used	(s)əlqmsx∃
convey its intended meaning.	
The size, format and complexity of each piece of text should be minimally sufficient to	
knowledge of the intended users.	
Terminology and language style should match with the experience and background	noitenelqx∃
User-system principles	Set
User match	Principle
TERMINOLOGY AND LANGUAGE STYLE No : 13	Attribute

Sutcliffe 1995, Shneiderman 1998	
Marshall et al 1987, Brown 1988, Norman 1988, Hix & Hartson 1993, Nielsen 1993,	Source(s)
important consideration.	
the possibility of incorrect user analogy (an aspect of user-system model mismatch) is an	
user's experience in a way which could not be easily done by other means. In particular,	
use should be considered only where they can be said to encapsulate an aspect of the	
However, it is important not to over-do the use of icons and visual representations; their	
real-world objects and processes in visual or other form) play an important role.	
which iconic representation and direct manipulation (representations and manipulation of	
The graphical user interface itself can be considered as an elaborate visual metaphor, in	Comments
Appropriateness of content Feedback	or affects
Visio-perceptual load Audio-perceptual load	Related to
avoided.	
Mixed metaphors (the use of different analogies to convey the same idea) should be	
the metaphor could carry the message on its own without the text.	
itself will still need to be in text form. It would be dangerous in such cases to assume that	
'earcon'), for example to signify a warning or error situation, the content of the message	
combine particular kinds of message output with a visual icon and/or auditory signal (an	(a)uondoox-
Numerical data and text will resist representation in other forms. Though it is useful to	Exception(s)
folder and/or file structure arrangements.	
(animated window enlargement), 'menu item activated' (flashing menu text); hierarchical	
eg. 'process underway' (by an 'egg timer' icon, spinning wheel, etc.); folder opening'	
Other visual metaphors include the signification of active processes or process initiation,	
tind', as well as signitying functional applications or tools.	
be useful in encapsulating both objects, eg. 'printer', 'folder' and operations, eg. 'install',	
In graphical interfaces, visual icons (small graphical representations) have been shown to	Exsmple(s)
and operations. The use of visual metaphor is particularly appropriate in this context.	
environment or task domain, using visual and other representations of real-world objects	
of its functions and organisational structure. This can rely on metaphors from the user's	
The system should encourage users to create for themselves a coherent conceptual model	Explanation
Ishow lowered freedoo o confermedt ref eterse et ereen energie bliede metere edT	To Hogolay]
User-system principles	fəS
User match	Principle
40100 ACT MATAPHOR	Attribute
	V+riPirt+V

User Principles

These principles concern the degree to which the system caters for the user's preferences and the need to adapt the system in line with those preferences. It also concerns the way in which the system can cater for more than one style or type of user input.

The content (as opposed to the style) of the system output is also dealt with here, along with the need for emphasis of certain parts of that output.

Modifiability

et al 1998	
1993, Sutcliffe 1995, ISO 9241-10 (1996), Scapin & Bastien 1997, Cox & Walker 1998, Dix	
Galitz 1985, Murphy & Mitchell 1986, Marshall et al 1987, Denley et al 1993, Hix & Hartson	Source(s)
consistency across systems.	
configurations, shortcuts, etc. is desirable in principle, but may in practice make for little	
The ability to make wholesale changes to what otherwise would represent standard menu	
allow their complete menu sets to be re-configured, while retaining a library of commands.	
parameters can be adjusted). For example, some long-established systems (eg. Word)	
classes of modifications (eg. established workspaces around which many functional	
maintain their overall look and feel while allowing considerable freedom for particular	
whim, one system would be as good as any other. Most systems which offer this facility	
controlled and directed : if all aspects of every interface were modifiable at each user's	
While modifiability is a positive aspect of a system's usability, it needs to be carefully	Comments
steps muminiM	or affects
Step modification	Related to
.system-wide.	
will usually be document-specific, while file saving specifications are more likely to be	
system or are specific to a particular document, worksheet, etc.: for example, page layouts	
functionally distinct. Another issue is whether such changes extend across the whole of a	
modifications interact with other parts of the system, that is, the extent to which they are	
The extent of the modifiability which is permitted will depend on the degree to which such	Exception(s)
organisation and contents.	
Page setup parameters, printing options, file saving frequencies; pull-down menu	Exsmple(s)
with other, similar, applications and systems (or previous versions of the same system).	
and organisation to fit with their level of experience or preferences. This might be in line	
The system should allow users to modify or adapt some aspects of its functional scope	Explanation
	100
User principles	Set
Modifiability	Principle
FUNCTIONAL MODIFICATION No : 15	Attribute

Smith & Mosier 1986, Marshall et al 1987, Brown 1988, Hix & Hartson 1993, Nielsen 1993, Sutcliffe 1995, Cox & Walker 1998, Dix et al 1998	Source(s)
	Comments
Functional modification Minimum steps Consistency	Related to or affects
While modifiability is a positive aspect of a system's usability, it needs to be carefully controlled and directed. Most systems which allow modifiable shortcuts will maintain a default set of commands, while allowing users to adapt or create their own sets out of this and the remaining command set. Some command sets may remain un-modifiable, for and the remaining command set.	Exception(s)
Standard or default accelerators, including for example the familiar Copy, Cut, Paste sets of (Command-C, Command-X, Command-V), may also be modifiable, as may default sets of toolbar icons.	
Keyboard equivalents ('accelerators') for established operations which require more complex or lengthy sequences of actions (eg. using combinations of mouse and menu use); user-definable macros for combinations of sequences; icons ('toolbars') for established operations.	Example(s)
The system should allow users to modify or adapt some aspects of its functional scope and organisation to fit with their level of experience or preferences. This includes shortcuts for frequently used operations or sequences. Default or given shortcuts may themselves be modifiable, as may the default set itself.	noitenslqx∃
User principles	Set
ATEP MODIFICATION No : 16 Modifiability	Attribute Principle

Galitz 1985, Thimbleby 1991, ISO 9241-10 (1996)	Source(s)
in favour of flexibility, where it is advantageous to provide more than one route to a particular operation, or where some operations fit naturally into (or can be usefully done in context of) more than sequence.	
The general principle of consistency (here applied to operation sequences) may be broken	Comments
Step modification Choice availability Consistency	Related to or affects
Many specific operations will only be performable in one fashion, with its own distinctive sequence of steps.	Exception(s)
The dialogue box for 'page setup' may be available from a variety of other dialogue boxes (and from other applications). Thus there may be several possible step sequences which describe a single operation such as 'set page parameters'.	(s)əlqmธx∃
While single operations will normally be performed in one fashion, in larger systems it will often be possible to complete a particular operation from more than place in different sequences. Thus the initiation of operations may be from various steps in more than one sequence of steps.	Explanation
User principles	Set
Flexibility	Principle
TIPLE INITIPLE No	Attribute

Source(s)	Denley et al 1993, Story 1998
Comments	
	Consistency
or affects	Choice availability
Selated to	Multiple initiation
(s)noitq∋x≣	Keyboard alternatives can only be used where these do not conflict with default keyboard inputs.
	A standard default is the use of the return key for the highlighted option in a dialogue box
(s)əlqmsz≣	Some long-established systems (eg. Word, Excel) allow keyboard selection of dialogue box options in preference to the mouse.
noitenelqx=	In mixed-input systems (eg. allowing combinations of mouse and keyboard), it may be possible to perform most operations using more than one input mode.
Set	User principles
Srinciple	Flexibility
Attribute	81 : ON STUPLE INPUTS NO : 18

Accuracy of Content

Marshall et al 1987, Thimbleby 1991, Hix & Hartson 1993, Nielsen 1993, Cox & Walker 1998	Source(s)
Clearly, the need for accuracy of content is higher with information systems than with most others; however, all descriptive material should be as concise and explicit as possible. The tone of error messages, in particular, should be positive and reinforcing rather than negative and critical.	comments
Terminology and language style Error management	Related to or affects
The descriptive content of some systems may need to be such that the same material is repeated in more than one place, or amplified in some ways.	Exception(s)
Text messages, headings and labels; graphical material such as graphs, illustrations, tables; instructions; error messages.	(s)əlqmsx∃
Each piece of information conveyed by the system should be accurate, unambiguous and explicit.	Explanation
	100
Accuracy of content User principles	Principle Set
ACCURACY OF CONTENT No : 19	Attribute

Salience

1993, Sutcliffe 1995, Shneiderman 1998	
Murphy & Mitchell 1987, Brown 1988, Denley et al 1993, Hix & Hartson 1993, Nielsen	Source(s)
	Comments
Feedback	or affects
Error management	Related to
	Exception(s)
Warning of exceptional or critical error conditions; initial instructions which affect later use; indication of infrequent or unpredictable occurrences.	Example(s)
Some system components may be of particular salience, either exceptionally (unlike the system, occurring rarely) or prominently (in terms of its importance for system operation, or having particular content). Such components should be given appropriate emphasis.	noitsnslqx∃
User principles	Set
Salience	Principle
SALIENCE No : 20	Attribute

The only principle contained here is that of consistency, both between and within system components.

Consistency

example for emphasis, in general consistency is to be aimed for, at least within modes. Foley & Van Dam 1982, Williges & Williges 1984, Galitz 1985, Murphy & Mitchell 1986, Marshall et al 1987, Brown 1988, Thimbleby 1991, Denley et al 1993, Hix & Hartson 1993, Nielsen 1993, Sutcliffe 1995, Zetie 1995, ISO 9241-10 (1996), Scapin & Bastien 1997, Cox & Walker 1998, Dix et al 1998, Jordan 1998, Shneiderman 1998, and many others.	Source(s)
Consistency is the most commonly found, and easiest to agree upon, of all usability criteria. Unfortunately it is also one of the most difficult to define precisely. The above tepresents an attempt at describing the broad limits of the problem, with qualifications where appropriate. While there are sometimes good reasons to break this principle, for where appropriate.	comments
Salience Step modification Choice availability Error management Terminology and language style	or affects
noitsitini elqitluM	Related to
component. It may also be possible to navigate around the system in more than one way. It may be necessary to enable or disable certain options under certain circumstances, and while the broad layout of individual components should not change, additional sets of options, or access to particular components, may become available. Text layout or format might also be varied for emphasis (salience), as might language style. Where there are different functional modes, the layout and appearance of each mode might also be different.	
In larger systems it may be possible to initiate operations from more than one state or	Exception(s)
Unless it is necessary for reasons of emphasis (salience), text layout and formatting should be consistent across components, and consistency of language style should be maintained.	
The user actions necessary to perform a particular operation (eg. save file with a new name, delete file) should not differ according to the stage reached in interaction, or under arbitrary conditions. Once the user has learned how to move between components, such without reason. Though it may be necessary to enable or disable certain options within a component under particular conditions, the layout of each component should not change. The relationship between components (ie. the functional organisation of the system) should not change either. Navigation within online help should be consistent with that used elsewhere.	Example(s)
Terminology and language style should remain consistent across components and states, as should the format of informational content of the same type. All messages and feedback should be consistent in style and format. All salient (exceptional or important) content should be consistently emphasised.	
The steps required to complete any one operation should be consistent. Movement between components should also operate consistently, such that the user should be able to predict what the result of a particular movement will be. The layout any one component or state should not differ according to the type of operation being performed. Thus the result of a particular any one state should not change, nor should the reage of options available from any one state should not change, nor should the relationship between different components and sub-components.	Txplanation
	100
Comparative principles	Principle Set
CONSISTENCY No : 21	Attribute

System Performance Principles

These principles concern the degree to which the system inhibits or imposes restrictions on the user's ability to physically manipulate its components. As well as responsive to inputs and manipulation of screen objects, it includes the ability to switch between active processes and components, and any delays between input and initiation of processes.

VilideluqineM

Zetie 1995, Dix et al 1998	Source(s)
	Comments
sqets muminiM	or affects
Location and navigation	Related to
are few reasons to do so.	
sometimes necessary to prevent a window (etc.) from being moved, but in general there	
done, but there are only limited situations in which they are essential. Similarly, it is	
designers) than attempt to predict all possible ways in which non-modal switching might be	
good reasons for having modal dialogues, and it is certainly easier to use them (as	
Components which confine interaction to themselves are called modal; there are some	Exception(s)
nsing keyboard shortcuts.	
WIMP environments also allow switching between concurrently open applications, often	
system, including other applications) where there is no obvious reason to do so. Many	
continue to feature modal components (those which prevent interaction with the rest of the	
boxes and other objects, unless (for example when it is essential to deal with a dialogue box before continuing) there are good reasons for not doing so. Many systems, however,	
Most WIMP systems now allow users to move and place on screen windows, dialogue	Exsmple(s)
immovable, or prevent interaction with other objects, without good reason.	
active states. In a graphical system, containing objects such as windows should not be	
means of access to states which are hidden or occluded, and means of switching between	
arrange interface elements. In a multi-tasking or multi-state system, there should be	
The user should have the maximum freedom to switch between components and to	Explanation
System performance principles	Set
VilideluqineM	Principle
MANIPULABILITY No : 22	Attribute

Responsiveness

Foley & Van Dam 1982, Galitz 1985, Brown 1988, Nielsen 1993, Zetie 1995, Scapin & Bastien 1997, Cox & Walker 1998, Jordan 1998, Shneiderman 1993	Source(s)
remain among the most persistent aspects of poor usability.	(0)000000
do not bother to indicate the fact, this and lack of reliable indication of processing activity	
unresponsive systems are still to be found than that the designers of merely slow systems	
amenable to coding intervention than the former. While it should be less surprising that	
while both are little under the control of the interface processes, the latter may be more	
It is important to distinguish between system response times and system processing times;	Comments
Vilibility	or affects
Feedback	Related to
indicator round each and every response sequence.	
is not trivial, even apart from the considerable effort needed to 'wrap' an 'active process'	
The problem of defining precisely where a user input ends and a system response begins	
systems is an under-researched area).	
learned to re-direct gaze during short delays. (The skilled adaptation to predictably slower	
slower systems may also have difficulty in adjusting to faster versions, for example having	
movement with the mouse, with very fast or responsive systems. Users who are used to	
Some users, particularly novices, have difficulty, for example in controlling cursor	Exception(s)
inputs which initiate a system process and the initiation of that process.	
processing is taking place, there should be no perceivable delay between acceptance of	
As well as the immediate confirmation of user inputs and the need to indicate that	
time; scrollable lists or files should present no delay in response.	
Windows, icons and other graphical objects should be draggable, expandable (etc.) in real	Example(s)
blocesses.	
There should be minimum delay in the initiation (as opposed to processing time) of system	
resistance.	
System components which are physically moveable by the user should present no	Explanation
an tracera bluede room edt ve eldeever vileeiever ere deidw stragogrape metev2	acitogolava
System performance principles	fəS
Responsiveness	Principle
BERSPONSIVENESS No : 23	Principute Brincipule
	• • • • • • • • • • •

Perceptual and Motor Principles

These principles concern the visual and auditory load which is presented to the user by the system, the motor load (number of physical actions) which the system puts on the user, plus the clarity and contrast of and between screen images.

Vielsen 1993, Scapin & Bastien 1997, Jordan 1998, Shneiderman 1998	
Williges & Williges 1984, Murphy & Mitchell 1986, Marshall et al 1987, Hix & Hartson 1993,	Source(s)
the view that they are a mixed blessing and should be used with caution.	
make these problems (if they be so) more prevalent in the future; this author remains of	
increasing use of graphical features to enhance appearance (eg. in web sites) is likely to	
definitions of clutter and grouping (though there have been attempts to do so). The	
subjective features of user acceptance, and that it is very difficult to give practical	
It is acknowledged that response to visual appearance is likely to be among the most	Comments
Salience	
Perceptual contrast	or affects
Perceptual clarity	Related to
	Exception(s)
states which are concurrently visible should be kept to a minimum.	
In a multi-state system without manipulable (moveable, occludable) states, the number of	
discouraged.	
used for a specific purpose. The excessive use of multiple text fonts should be	
Animation (moving or flashing of graphical items) should be kept to a minimum and be	
indicate (code for) specific meanings, this should not be the only means of doing so).	
The number of colours used should be kept to a minimum. (Where colour is used to	
grouped and aligned together, and this should be maintained.	
Objects such as file icons, data input fields, buttons, with related functions should be	<i>.</i>
Containing objects such as windows, dialogue boxes, etc., should not appear cluttered.	(s)əlqmsx∃
alignment, grouping, colour, etc.	
combination of components) should not appear excessive. This includes clutter,	
The visual load presented by any system component (in a multi-state system, a	Explanation
Perceptual & motor principles	Set
Visio-perceptual load	Principle
VISIO-PERCEPUTAL LOAD 40: 24	Attribute

Audio-perceptual Load

Source(s)	Marshall et al 1987, Hix & Hartson 1993
	positive distraction.
	signals can be at the user's discretion, but in shared work envi
	excessive visual bombardment. In single-user environments t
	view is that audible clutter and superficial sound effects are as
	the aural adaptation of visual interfaces for blind or partially-sig
Comments	Other than obvious uses of audible tracks, such as music teac
or affects	Salience
Aelated to	Visio-perceptual load
Exception(s)	
	situation.
	arrival, process completion). Particular signals could be used
Example(s)	Error tones; warning tones; indicators of changes in system sta
	be used sparingly, such as to indicate salience, and volume le
Explanation Example(s)	
	be used sparingly, such as to indicate salience, and volume le
	be used sparingly, such as to indicate salience, and volume le
noitsnslqx 3	The auditory load presented by the system should not be exce be used sparingly, such as to indicate salience, and volume le

Brown 1988, Denley et al 1993, Jordan 1998	Source(s)
considerably reduce the time taken for keystroke and other actions.	
However, it has been shown that redesign of the steps required for certain sequences can	
technique for avoiding RSI is to vary and break up the pattern of input sequences.	
an unfortunate side-effect of the general drive towards minimising motor actions; a	
The repetitive nature of much data input, and the speed at which it can be performed, are	Comments
Error management	
stuqni əlqitluM	or affects
steps muminiM	Related to
response.	
delete data, it would be inappropriate to use this as the default in favour of the 'No'	
responses whose consequences might be serious. For example, if a 'Yes' response would	
option in dialogue boxes), it may be necessary to deliberately slow down practised	<i>.</i>
Where a particular action is an established default (such as the Return key for the default	Exception(s)
mechanical typewriters) attest to the need for faster and more efficient input modes.	
redesigning the QWERTY keyboard (which was originally intended to slow down typists on	
mouse movement, keyboard equivalents for menu items, etc. The many attempts at	
need for switching. Some systems with pull-down menus allow menu access without	
performed together (one per hand); another is to allow choice of either mode, without the	
One approach is to allow multiple input modes, such as mouse and keyboard, to be	Example(s)
the motor action required to accomplish a sequence should also be minimised.	
apart from the requirement to keep the number of steps in any sequence to a minimum,	
The number of physical actions required of the user should be kept to a minimum. Thus	Explanation
Perceptual & motor principles	Set
Motor load	Principle
MOTOR LOAD No : 26	Attribute

Perceptual Clarity

Williges & Williges 1984, Scapin & Bastien 1997, Jordan 1998	Source(s)
Like visual clutter, readability and clarity are likely to be a factor of user preference (not to mention monitor quality). However, very small fonts and icons should not be used without good reason, and the appearance of icons (etc.) with different meanings should be as different as possible.	comments
Visio-perceptual load Perceptual contrast	Related to or affects
	Exception(s)
Icons, buttons, input fields.	(s)∋lqmsx∃
All graphical objects should be both discernible and distinguishable from other objects. All text should be readable (via font size, type and line separation).	Explanation
Perceptual & Motor principles	fet
Perceptual clarity	Principle
PERCEPTUAL CLARITY No : 27	Attribute

Perceptual Contrast

Williges & Williges 1984, Scapin & Bastien 1997, Jordan 1998	Source(s)
example, positive polarity is only a majority preference.	
related aspects of user acceptance, but room for personal preferences remains. For	
There is more agreement for figure-ground and colour clashes than with other vision-	Comments
Visio-perceptual load	or affects
Perceptual clarity	Related to
	Exception(s)
lcons, background colours, illustrations, captions, main text.	(s)9lqmsx∃
avoided unless used to indicate exceptional salience.	
blue-black, blue-red, blue-yellow) should be avoided. Saturated (bright) colours should be	
light) is preferable to negative (light on dark), and common colour clashes (red-green,	
sufficient to discriminate them, but should not be excessive. Positive polarity (dark on	
The contrast between visual objects, including text, and their background should be	Explanation
Perceptual & motor principles	Set
Perceptual contrast	Principle
PERCEPTUAL CONTRAST No : 28	Attribute

User Support Principles

These principles concern the nature and extent of online assistance which is available to the user, both as general, searchable help and as context-sensitive help.

Hix & Hartson 1993, Nielsen 1993, Sutcliffe 1995, ISO 9241-10 (1996), Dix et al 1998, Shneiderman 1998	Source(s)
and could be demonstrated to be using it at far less than optimal efficiency.	(0)0021103
case that the majority of users only ever discover a small proportion of any given system,	
does not come without extensive practice and a willingness to explore, it is probably the	
While extensive and detailed assistance should be available, and it is true that skilled use	
the wrong level, the initial experience is likely to discourage further use.	
grips with; when the help material is larger than the system itself, and when it is pitched at	
encourage its use, it is the system, not the help material, which new users want to get to	
option to bypass it subsequently. However, while the initial availability of help is likely to	
One approach is to offer access to introductory help material at system startup, with an	
an ditive autrote anotorie to loirotean alled vineteuboritai et enegen rette et ei decoração enO	
background knowledge and experience which a range of users will have.	
sufficiency of information is not trivial, especially given the difficulty in predicting the	
incentives to use of help material; however, finding a balance between clarity and	
interface features. Ease of finding relevant information is likely to be one of the major	
available throughout. Ironically, help is one of the most commonly cited of desirable	
It is well known that users tend not to use online help until obliged to, even when it is	Comments
Requirements needs	
Consistency	
Terminology and language style	
Choice availability	
Accuracy of content	or affects
Context-sensitive help	Related to
have been installed - is usually not sufficient.	
from some sets of examples, and the usual solution - a 'read me' text file which may not	
explanatory to warrant little by way of help material. However, even these would benefit	
Some systems, particularly smaller ones such as functional add-ons, are sufficiently self-	Exception(s)
with full retraction available.	
guided step by step through a sequence, the result of each set of choices being shown,	
the original intact. A feature of recent systems is the 'step wizard', whereby the user is	
to permanent changes : for example, the ability to try out a new page layout while keeping	
see what it does', a facility to test out a set of choices without having to commit the system	
predicting what the result of any given set of combinations will be. A little-used feature is	
combinations which are available from any one state, and the consequent difficulty in	
One of the problems with extensive and complex systems is the large number of option	
a 'tip wizard' soon becomes intrusive and is left off or ignored.	
amongst other material). Even 'how do l' guides tend to focus on elementary features, and	
(eg. 'how to' step guides) and the complex (eg. commonly requested information buried	
material still tends to be excessive and text-heavy, with little balance between the trivial	
backtracking, related information, index searches, etc. However, the content of the	(a) a. 1
Help systems have improved dramatically in recent years, with hypertext browsers,	Example(s)
elsewhere. הודה ההמוזה הי המאקמוסה נהוסטקה הכוף הומנסהמי ההסומים כל סטוסוסנסות שונה נוזמר מסכט	
The means of navigation through help material should be consistent with that used	
operations. Illustrations and examples from the system should be used wherever possible.	
should be possible to search the material in more than one tashion; The amount of achieve	
Online help should be provided and should be accessible from any state or component. It should be provided the material in more than one tashion. The amount of	Explanation
I treasance at the most aldissense ad blunds has babivora ad blunds alad anima	noitenelax
User support principles	Set
General help	Principle
GENERAL HELP No. : 29	Attribute

Marshall et al 1987, Brown 1988, Thimbleby 1991, Nielsen 1993, Dix et al 1998	Source(s)
believed, to do better than is commonly the case.	
system, and thus to tailor context-sensitive help to those usages, it is possible, it is	
predict the user's intentions for each and every use of each and every part of a large	
portion of that material and expecting the user to work out (a) what part of it is relevant and (b) how it relates to the problem in hand. While it is acknowledged that it is very difficult to	
compounded by having the 'help' or ? button merely open a large and vaguely-related	
much general help material were not over-inclusive and impenetrable enough, this is	
For the reasons indicated above, most 'context-sensitive' help is not sensitive to context. If	comments
Terminology and language style	
Accuracy of content	
ValilidslugineM	
Requirements needs	
Error management	or affects
General help	Related to
(and is likely to discourage further exploration of the help material).	
general help text for a number of specific error states and dialogue boxes - is insufficient	
case in many instances, and the usual approach - access to the same large piece of	
material may suffice as context-sensitive information. However, this is unlikely to be the	
descriptive, and the operations on which help is sought relatively simple, general help	
Where the appropriate portions of general help material are sufficiently brief and	Exception(s)
replication of general help material).	
and warning boxes; it is recommended that they be extended to all components, and that the information that they access be specific to that component (and not merely a	
Dialogue box help buttons are not universally available in current systems, except for error	
Disloring how among the many of eldelieve viles available to ever shottlind alond you even for every	
describing terminology are commonly used in hypertext systems, etc.	
would be to press a special key while holding down the mouse on the item. Pop-up panels	
help text, appearing when the cursor is held over the item; another method for menu items	
menu items, toolbar icons, etc. A common approach to the latter is a 'balloon' or pop-up	
action(s); in a dialogue box, what the options are for and how to use them; information on	
Additional and amplifying information on the likely causes of an error and any remedial	Exsmple(s)
help material relating to the attempted operation.	
Context-sensitive help should not merely replicate or allow retrieval of the existing general	
states, where the material should amplify and not supplant the information already given.	
available from every state or system component. This is particularly necessary for error	
In addition to searchable online help, material relating to the context of use should be	noitenelqx∃
User support principles	Set
Context-sensitive help	Principle
CONTEXT-SENSITIVE HELP No : 30	Attribute